

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/589,268	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PIETSCH ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796

**All Participants:**(1) PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER.**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard L. Chinn.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2010**Time:** 8:30 AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*claim 1, claim 13*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussion concerned proposed Examiner's amendment to fix a typo in line 2 of claim 1 to remove the strikethroughed "of" and to incorporate the polymer limitations of claim 8 into a new claim (21) upon which claim 13 would depend.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/P. F. G./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)